

# Cambridge International AS & A Level

---

**BIBLICAL STUDIES**

**9484/22**

Paper 2 The Development of Christianity

**May/June 2024**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **17** printed pages.

**PUBLISHED****Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

**Guidance on using levels-based mark schemes**

Marking of work should be positive, rewarding achievement where possible, but clearly differentiating across the whole range of marks, where appropriate.

The marker should look at the work and then make a judgement about which level statement is the best fit. In practice, work does not always match one level statement precisely so a judgement may need to be made between two or more level statements.

Once a best-fit level statement has been identified, use the following guidance to decide on a specific mark:

- If the candidate's work **convincingly** meets the level statement, award the highest mark.
- If the candidate's work **adequately** meets the level statement, award the most appropriate mark in the middle of the range (where middle marks are available).
- If the candidate's work **just** meets the level statement, award the lowest mark.

**Assessment objectives****AO1 Knowledge and understanding**

Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of specified texts and Christian teachings, beliefs and practices as recorded in the Bible.

**AO2 Analysis and evaluation**

Analyse, evaluate and discuss evidence, points of view and issues in Christianity.

**Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks)**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 1(b), 2(b), 3(a) and 4(a)**.

<b>Level</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Marks</b>
Level 4	<p><b>Detailed accurate knowledge with good understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses a range of detailed, accurate and relevant knowledge.</li> <li>• Demonstrates understanding through a well-developed response.</li> <li>• Fully addresses the question.</li> <li>• Good understanding of the context, if relevant.</li> </ul>	9–10
Level 3	<p><b>Mostly accurate knowledge with some understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses a range of mostly accurate and relevant knowledge.</li> <li>• Demonstrates understanding through a developed response.</li> <li>• Addresses most aspects of the question.</li> <li>• Some engagement with the context, if relevant.</li> </ul>	6–8
Level 2	<p><b>Partially accurate knowledge with limited understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses a range of knowledge which may be partially accurate.</li> <li>• Demonstrates limited understanding through a partially developed response.</li> <li>• Attempts to address the question.</li> <li>• Attempts to engage with the context, if relevant.</li> </ul>	3–5
Level 1	<p><b>Limited knowledge and basic understanding</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identifies a limited range of knowledge which may not be accurate.</li> <li>• Demonstrates basic understanding through a limited response.</li> <li>• Response is relevant to the topic, but does not directly address the question.</li> <li>• Little or no reference to the context, if relevant.</li> </ul>	1–2
Level 0	No relevant material to credit.	0

**Table B: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (10 marks)**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 1(c)** and **2(c)**.

<b>Level</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Marks</b>
Level 5	<p><b>Effective conclusion with analysis of points of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analyses the importance and/or strength of different points of view in detail.</li> <li>Uses accurate evidence to support a sustained and well-structured discussion.</li> <li>Effective conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge and points of view.</li> </ul>	9–10
Level 4	<p><b>Coherent conclusion supported by evidenced points of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discusses different points of view in some detail.</li> <li>Uses accurate evidence to support a well-structured discussion.</li> <li>Coherent conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge and points of view.</li> </ul>	7–8
Level 3	<p><b>Satisfactory conclusion with different points of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognises different points of view and discusses at least one in some detail.</li> <li>Uses accurate evidence to support discussion.</li> <li>Satisfactory conclusion to the question which is linked to a range of knowledge and points of view.</li> </ul>	5–6
Level 2	<p><b>Basic conclusion with a supported point of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discusses one point of view.</li> <li>Uses supporting evidence for one or more relevant points. The support may not be wholly relevant or accurate.</li> <li>Attempted conclusion to the question which is linked to knowledge and/or a point of view.</li> </ul>	3–4
Level 1	<p><b>Limited interpretation with a point of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>States a point of view.</li> <li>Little or no supporting evidence.</li> <li>Attempted interpretation which may not directly address the question.</li> </ul>	1–2
Level 0	No relevant material to credit.	0

**Table C: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (15 marks)**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 3(b)** and **4(b)**.

Level	Description	Marks
Level 5	<p><b>Effective conclusion with analysis of points of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analyses the importance and/or strength of different points of view in detail.</li> <li>Uses accurate evidence to support a sustained and well-structured discussion.</li> <li>Effective conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge.</li> </ul>	13–15
Level 4	<p><b>Coherent conclusion supported by evidenced points of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discusses different points of view in some detail.</li> <li>Uses accurate evidence to support a well-structured discussion.</li> <li>Coherent conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge and points of view.</li> </ul>	10–12
Level 3	<p><b>Satisfactory conclusion with different points of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognises different points of view and discusses at least one in some detail.</li> <li>Uses accurate evidence to support discussion.</li> <li>Satisfactory conclusion to the question which is linked to a range of knowledge and points of view.</li> </ul>	7–9
Level 2	<p><b>Basic conclusion with a supported point of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discusses one point of view.</li> <li>Uses supporting evidence for one or more relevant points. The support may not be wholly relevant or accurate.</li> <li>Attempted conclusion to the question which is linked to knowledge and/or a point of view.</li> </ul>	4–6
Level 1	<p><b>Limited interpretation with a point of view</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>States a point of view.</li> <li>Little or no supporting evidence.</li> <li>Attempted interpretation which may not directly address the question.</li> </ul>	1–3
Level 0	No relevant material to credit.	0

**Section A**

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>EITHER</b>		
1(a)	<p><b>Describe the conversion of Simon when Philip went to a city in Samaria.</b></p> <p>Award up to 5 marks for AO1 Knowledge and understanding.</p> <p>Award up to five marks max. for a comprehensive answer. Either direct quotation from the text or paraphrase is acceptable. All relevant material must be credited.</p> <p>When Philip went there, he preached the gospel and performed miracles <b>(1)</b>. A man named Simon practised magic and amazed everyone in Samaria <b>(1)</b>. He boasted of his greatness. People said that he was the power of God / the Great and followed him <b>(1)</b>. However, when Philip proclaimed the gospel, the people believed him and were baptized <b>(1)</b>. Simon believed and was baptized <b>(1)</b>. He followed Philip everywhere, as he was amazed by the signs / miracles that were occurring <b>(1)</b>.</p> <p>Based on the account in Acts 8: 4–13.</p>	<b>5</b>

Question	Answer	Marks
1(b)	<p><b>Examine the account in Acts of Philip’s meeting with the Ethiopian official which led to the official’s conversion to Christianity.</b></p> <p>Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The incident was divinely directed throughout, and Philip’s obedience is emphasized. An angel instructed Philip to take the road to Gaza. Philip obeyed this and also the order to approach the official in his chariot who was on his way home. In view of what he was reading, he must have been a Godfearer and had perhaps been to Jerusalem for a festival.</li> <li>• The official had very high status in Ethiopia; he was in charge of the treasury of Candace, the queen. In view of this powerful position, he was a eunuch, as it was thought that this would reduce the risk of sedition.</li> <li>• The official replied to Philip’s question that he could not understand the passage that he was reading without guidance, and he invited Philip to join him in his chariot. The passage was Isaiah 53, which spoke of the rejection, suffering and eventual vindication of the Servant; this text was widely regarded in the early church as a prediction of the life, death and resurrection of Jesus. It may, for instance have influenced the gospel accounts of Jesus’ Passion. Philip explained the passage in the context of the gospel message. In view of the official’s next request, it may be that his speech followed a similar structure to that seen in Peter’s sermons, in which preaching about Jesus ended with a call to be baptized.</li> <li>• Seeing a pool, the man requested baptism. They descended from the chariot and Philip baptized him. At that point, the Spirit whisked Philip away. The official saw him no more but went on his way with joy. The joy of Christians is a prominent theme in Acts.</li> </ul> <p>Based on the account in Acts 8:26–39.</p>	10

Question	Answer	Marks
1(c)	<p><b>‘Philip’s contribution to the development of Christianity was greater than that of Stephen.’ Discuss this claim.</b></p> <p>Use Table B: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <p><b>For the view:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Philip took the gospel beyond Judaea. There was a long history of antagonism between the Jews and Samaritans, each loathing the other. The Samaritans were not regarded as true Jews. Philip’s mission to Samaria was in a sense an act of reconciliation; he demonstrated that salvation was offered to the Samaritans just as it was to Jews. This was then further cemented by the visit of Peter and John. Stephen’s ministry in contrast was restricted to Jerusalem.</li> <li>• Philip’s meeting with the Ethiopian official resulted in the gospel being taken to Ethiopia. This was much further than any other area possibly evangelized by those converted at Pentecost and the Greek-speaking Christians who fled persecution in Jerusalem.</li> <li>• Before this, Philip, like Stephen, had been appointed as one of the seven men who were commissioned to distribute money fairly among the widows in the Greek-speaking Christian community. Unlike Stephen, however, his ministry did not arouse hostility, and so presumably his ministry was successful.</li> </ul> <p><b>Other views:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stephen was also one of the seven, and there is nothing to suggest he did not fulfil his commission to serve the widows.</li> <li>• Stephen was more active than Philip in preaching the gospel in Jerusalem. He had considerable debating skills and no doubt convinced some of those attached to the Greek-speaking synagogues of the truth of the gospel. It was this that led to his arrest.</li> <li>• The radical nature of his preaching showed the inevitable separation of Christianity from Judaism long before it happened. Philip’s preaching was probably more conservative, and it was concerned to show that Jesus was the fulfilment of Jewish prophecies.</li> </ul>	10

**PUBLISHED**

<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>	<b>Marks</b>
1(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Stephen's martyrdom and the ensuing persecution of the Greek-speaking Christian community led to the dispersion of many throughout Judaea, Samaria and further afield in the Mediterranean world. There is no evidence of the establishment of a church by the official in Ethiopia and Philip's work in Samaria was only the first stage of the mission there, whereas Stephen's preaching and death led to the establishment of many Christian communities. It could be argued that the establishment of the Church in Syrian Antioch and of its sponsoring of Paul's missionary journeys was ultimately due to Stephen.</li><li>• Stephen's martyrdom demonstrated that the Christian faith was worth dying for.</li></ul>	

**PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>OR</b>		
2(a)	<p><b>Outline the mission of Paul and Silas to Beroea.</b></p> <p>Award up to 5 marks for AO1 Knowledge and understanding.</p> <p>Award up to five marks max. for a comprehensive answer. All relevant material must be credited.</p> <p>They went to the synagogue in Beroea. Acts reports on the more open attitude of the Beroean Jews <b>(1)</b>. There was an eager response to Paul's preaching. They examined the scriptures in detail to check the truth of Paul's preaching <b>(1)</b>. Many Jews believed, along with some prominent Greek women and many Greek men <b>(1)</b>. Jews in Thessalonica heard of Paul's activity in Beroea. They went to Beroea, where they caused trouble for Paul <b>(1)</b>. For safety, Paul was moved to the coast, but Silas and Timothy remained in Beroea <b>(1)</b>. Paul was then taken on to Athens, where he gave those who accompanied him instructions that Silas and Timothy should join him as soon as possible <b>(1)</b>.</p> <p>Based on the account in Acts 17:10–15.</p>	<b>5</b>

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	<p><b>Explain why Paul and Silas left Thessalonica.</b></p> <p>Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For three consecutive Sabbaths, Paul proclaimed the gospel, using the Jewish scriptures to show the necessity for Jesus' death and resurrection. A number of Jews were converted, along with many Godfearers and some important women.</li> <li>• Acts attributed the hostility of other Jews to jealousy, but they might have been offended by Paul's message, which would have been controversial for Jews. Whatever the cause, they organised some of the less desirable characters of the city to start a riot. The mob went to Jason's house. Presumably Jason was a convert and must have had a sufficiently large house to accommodate Paul, Silas and Timothy. They intended to bring them out for the crowd to do what they wished with them.</li> <li>• Paul and his companions were not there, so instead, Jason and some other Christians were taken to the authorities. Jason was accused of giving hospitality to men who were guilty of the most serious of political crimes. There was unrest at this particular time in Judaea that had spread further afield, and it was perhaps being implied that Paul and his companions were part of this unrest. If found guilty, Paul and possibly also his companions would have faced the death penalty.</li> <li>• The officials may have thought that the mob had been whipped up and that the evidence was flimsy, but they had to do something. Jason and the others were therefore bailed and released. This would have made Jason responsible for ensuring that Paul left without any further trouble. Not surprisingly, at nightfall they sent Paul and his companions to Beroea.</li> </ul>	<b>10</b>

Question	Answer	Marks
2(c)	<p><b>‘Paul’s missionary journeys would have been more successful if he had not preached the gospel in synagogues.’ Discuss this view.</b></p> <p>Use Table B: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <p><b>For the view:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• By going first to synagogues, the result was almost always trouble. The Jews in Pisidian Antioch and Iconium who rejected Paul’s message drove Paul and Barnabas out of the towns and some pursued them as far as Lystra, where they almost killed Paul. Being driven out of these towns, Paul did not have the time he needed to give all the teaching and set up the structures that were needed for the new Christian communities.</li> <li>• Paul had much greater success with gentiles. They were more receptive and many of the churches established by him consisted mainly of gentiles.</li> <li>• Paul regarded himself as the apostle to the gentiles. Ananias was told that this was Paul’s commission from God in his vision after Paul’s conversion. The Jewish leadership recognised that this was Paul’s role, whereas they concentrated on relationships with the Jews. Paul could therefore have left this to them without feeling the need to go into synagogues.</li> </ul> <p><b>Other views:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As a Jew, Paul would have felt it was an obligation to attend synagogue services. Devout Jews prayed three times a day, in the synagogue if possible.</li> <li>• Paul believed as a matter of principle that the gospel must be proclaimed first to Jews. In this he was following Jesus’ practice.</li> <li>• It was by going to synagogues that Paul made contact with Godfearers. These formed the backbone of some of the churches he founded. There were no concerns with them about belief in one God and a highly moral lifestyle.</li> <li>• Arguably, Paul’s least successful mission was the one he conducted in Athens. Yet here he was in a purely gentile community. There were no Jews causing trouble, but Paul had a very limited impact.</li> </ul>	

**Section B**

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>EITHER</b>		
3(a)	<p><b>Examine Paul’s teaching in 1 Corinthians 11 and 14 about the appearance and behaviour of women at worship.</b></p> <p>Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The teaching in 1 Corinthians 11 might have arisen because of the way in which women were exercising their freedom arising out of their new status in Christianity. This threatened conventional patterns of authority.</li> <li>• Paul’s hierarchy relating to status was the basis of the teaching that followed. Women were subordinate to men. Paul had in mind the creation story in Genesis 2, where Adam was the source of Eve’s being. Paul’s statement that the woman was made from and for the sake of man was a clear allusion to Genesis 2, where Eve was created from Adam’s rib to be a companion for him. In support of his view, Paul reinterpreted the teaching in Genesis 1, which stated that men and women were created in the image and likeness of God. Paul claimed that man was the image and reflection of God, and woman was the image of man.</li> <li>• In 1 Corinthians 11 Paul was concerned with the appearance of women at worship. apparently accepting public roles of women in worship. A woman should not pray or prophesy unveiled. He appealed not just to Genesis 1 but also to nature to justify why men should not cover their heads but women should.</li> <li>• It may be that Paul was concerned about practices creeping in that were found in pagan mystery cults, where women took part in rituals with no head coverings and their hair flowing free. This could have led to confusion of Christian rituals with fertility rites.</li> <li>• He also saw wearing a veil as a symbol of a woman’s authority to pray and prophesy. Paul linked this to the dependence of men and women on each other and to their ultimate dependence on God.</li> <li>• In contrast to this, however, in 1 Corinthians 14, Paul stated that women must not take a public role in church worship but should consult their husbands afterwards about anything they wanted to know. This view that women should not speak publicly was found in some pagan writings, and although the synagogue did not in principle forbid women to speak publicly in worship, in practice it did. So Paul’s teaching here probably followed common practice.</li> </ul>	<b>10</b>

**PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The way in which Paul ended his teaching in both passages suggests that he knew it might be contentious. So he appealed to custom in the other churches as reinforcement of his views.</li> </ul>	
3(b)	<p><b>‘Paul’s rules about the conduct of worship stifled the spiritual growth of the Corinthian Church.’ Evaluate this claim.</b></p> <p>Use Table C: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (15 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 15 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <p><b>For the claim:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paul’s regulation of the practices of <i>glossolalia</i> and prophecy were too restrictive. Speaking in tongues was the spontaneous response of individual Christians to the presence of the Spirit in their hearts. Paul’s restrictions stifled this spontaneity and made worship artificial.</li> <li>Paul taught that in the Christian faith, distinctions based on race, social status and gender no longer had any place. This should have been reflected in worship by allowing women to play a positive part and not to feel bound to traditional rules about appearance.</li> <li>Forbidding women to speak and to ask questions in worship meetings deprived the whole community of feminine insights that might have enriched the community.</li> </ul> <p><b>Other views:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regulation of the abuses of the Lord’s Supper was essential. The self-centred greed of the wealthy humiliated the poor and threatened the unity of the community.</li> <li>Paul’s regulation of the practices of <i>glossolalia</i> and prophecy were intended to strengthen the community and promote harmony. These practices were intended to benefit everyone and Paul said that Christians should be eager to prophesy. Nor was the practice of <i>glossolalia</i> to be banned.</li> <li>The rule about women covering their heads was intended to prevent the infiltration of pagan practices.</li> <li>If 14:33b–35 was a scribal gloss that had become part of the text, then Paul was not preventing women from playing an active part in worship.</li> </ul>	<b>15</b>

Question	Answer	Marks
<b>OR</b>		
4(a)	<p><b>Compare Paul’s instructions to slaves and slave-masters in Colossians with the teaching on slavery in 1 Peter.</b></p> <p>Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10) marks to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The instructions in Colossians occur as part of a household code. These codes were found in Jewish and pagan writings of the first century and were intended to order social relationships within the household. It was thought that the well-being of the state depended on this. Unlike the pagan codes, however, there were instructions for slaves, and the teaching relating to them formed the longest section within the household code.</li> <li>• The instructions in Colossians to slave-masters were similar to those in the Stoic codes in that they urged fair treatment. They went further than this, however, in the reason given for such treatment. The slave-owners were reminded that in a sense, they were on the same level as slaves, for like them, they had a heavenly master. The warning to slaves that they would be accountable for wrong actions, and that there would be no favouritism applied also to slave-masters. There were no instructions to slave-masters in 1 Peter. It is unlikely that this was because they were beyond reproach in that community. More probably, members of the churches addressed in that letter belonged to the lower classes of society, and so few if any would have owned slaves.</li> <li>• Both letters took the institution of slavery for granted. In Colossians the writer seems to have assumed that the slaves he was addressing were not suffering from unfair treatment. Perhaps the slaves in the Colossian Church had Christian masters, who were themselves addressed. The focus in Colossians was on the motivation for obedience, which should lead them to do their masters’ will even when they were not supervised. Their aim should not be to win favour with their masters but to please God. They were to work wholeheartedly in the knowledge that they were serving Christ and that they would receive an eternal reward. So although Colossians did not seek to challenge the institution, the aim was to give it a new and exalted significance.</li> <li>• As in Colossians, so in 1 Peter the emphasis was on obedience, but the circumstances were different. The author was not urging obedience whether or not the slave was being supervised, but obedience even when the owner was harsh. Slaves were to accept without resistance unjust treatment. The justification for this attitude is also slightly different from the teaching in Colossians. This was their calling, namely, to follow the example of Christ who himself endured unjust treatment without retaliation, and whose death won for them salvation. As in Colossians, the teaching in 1 Peter was given a theological justification, but at a deeper level.</li> </ul>	<b>10</b>

Question	Answer	Marks
4(b)	<p><b>Analyse how the New Testament portrays slavery.</b></p> <p>Use Table C: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (15 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 15 marks.</p> <p><b>Indicative content</b></p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Slavery was an established feature of society in the Roman world and this can be seen from New Testament references to it, e.g. centurions in Capernaum and Caesarea had slaves. Advice to Christian slaves is found in Colossians and 1 Peter.</li> <li>• Slavery did not necessarily end with the slave's death. In 1 Corinthians 7:21–22, Paul referred to the possibility of manumission. In his letter to Philemon, he expressed a hope that Philemon might release Onesimus. Some candidates might refer to secular Roman writings, which indicated that manumission was a common practice.</li> <li>• The New Testament points to the varied status of slaves. The household slaves of Cornelius were given considerable responsibility. At the other extreme, the slave-girl in Philippi was regarded by her slave-owners as an object. Slaves, however, had few rights, whatever their status.</li> <li>• The treatment of slaves varied considerably. Many were treated very harshly, as can be seen from 1 Peter and the incident at Philippi. In contrast, the centurion at Capernaum felt compassion for his slave and the fact that Paul sent Onesimus back to Philemon implies that Philemon was not harsh.</li> </ul>	<b>15</b>